United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20


DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

Section 1A		NRCS Entry Only Eligible: YES ☒ NO □	
Date of Report: 02/15/2006		Approved: YES NO D	
DSR Number: 103-05-072K Project Number: Bayou Vincen	t 1	Limited Resource Area: YES NO 🔯	
Sponsor Name: St. Tammany Parish Section 1B Spon	sor Info	ormation	
Address: PO Box 628			
City/State/Zip; Covington, LA 70434			
Telephone Number: (985) 898-2552 Fax: (985) 89	98-5202		
Section 1C Site Lo	cation In	nformation	
County: St. Tammany State: LA	Con	ngressional District: 01	
Latitude DS: 30.29280 Longitude DS:89.78930 Section: Latitude US: 30.10200 Longitude US: 89.78010 UTM Coordinates:	3,4,34	Township: 8, 9S Range: 14E	
Drainage Name: Bayou Vincent Reach #1	_Reach:_	8,500 feet	
Damage Description: Debris - Medium with complexities	·		
Section 1D Site Evaluation			
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for E	EWP assi	sistance.	
Site Eligibility	YES		
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	1		
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	V		
Threat to life and/or property?*	1		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*			
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	1		
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	7		
Site Defensibility	37 75 77		
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	7		
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES NO Comments:	of the af	affected population have been informed of the EWP	

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.



Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits, and is socially acceptable.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 193,200.00

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

State EWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed: 3/9/0

Approved By:

State Conservationist

PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: _______

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource	2B Existing	20	Alternative Designat	ion
Concerns Condition		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using only one side of the channel for access	Leave all debris and large debris in the channel	Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using both sides of the channel for access
		2	D Effects of Alternativ	es
Soil				
Bank Erosion	disturbed soils from bank, tree falls, and uprooting 20-T/ac	removal of debris will allow reveg, and reduce loss 5-T/ac	potential for further damage from debris 20-Y/ac	reveg./reduce soil loss 10-T/ac
Soil Quality	soil quality reduced	soil quality improved	soil quality reduced	soil quality improved
Water				
DO	low DO from decreased water flow	remove debris/increase flow/	blockage/low DO	remove debris/increase flow/DO
BOD	high BOD/debris decomposition	improved 80D by removing detritus	high BOD/decompostion	improved BOD/less detritus
Overall Stream Health	SVAP = 5.9 = fair	SVAP = 6.3 = fair	SVAP = 5.9 =fair	SVAP = 6.3 = fair
Air				
particulate matter/dust	no effect	slight temporary increase during debris removal	no effect	slight temporary increase during debris removal
Plant				
riparian plants	excess debris potential damage	debris removal will protect existing riparian buffer zone	excess debris potential damage	debris removal will protect exist riparian buffer zone
aquatic plants	few due to poor water quality or fow diversity in vegetated areas	increase water quality and aquatic plants	absent or few	increase aquatic plants
Animal				
Aquatic habitat	impaired food web quality	quality increased/reduce BOD	quality reduced/high BOD	quality increased/reduce BOD
Upland habitat	damaged due lo debris	increase/low damage	slight increase with time	slight increase w/increased damage resulting from access
Other				
Aesthetics	Poor due to clutter	improved by debris removal	Poor due to clutter	improved by debris removal
vectors	increased vector habitat due to areas of stagnant water	decrease vector habitat	increased vector habitat due to stagnant water	decrease vector habitat
		30		

DSR NO: _____

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition		Alternatives and Effects	
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA Jurisdiction. No CWA permit will be needed if debris is left in channel.	improve water quality; CWA permit required	decrease water quality; increase blockage/clutter	improve water quality; short term increase in damage; CWA permit required
Coastal Zone Management Areas	Water flow patterns are altered within the coastal zone.	Water flow patterns restored; A consistency authorization is needed.	Water flow patterns allered, normal drainage impaired.	Water flow patterns restored; A consistency authorization is needed.
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Coral Reefs				
Cultural Resources	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.	None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader.
Endangered and Threatened Species	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) None observed on-site nor suitable habitat noted.	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) None observed on-site	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) None observed on-site	(FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) None observed on-site nor suitable habitat noted.t
Environmental Justice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)	N/A (Referenced with NOAA)
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal	Consultation not required for debris removal	N/A	Consultation not required for debris removal
Floodplain Management	Stream is located in 100-year floodplain and function is impaired.	improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity.	100-year floodplain function is impaired.	improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity.
Invasive Species	Chinense tallow, chinense privet and japanese climbing fine noted in stream riparian zone.	No long-term effects.	Chinense tallow, chinense privet and japanese climbing fine noted in stream riparian zo	No long-term effects.
Migratory Birds	Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian	Food and cover quality improved by debris removal	Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian	Food and cover quality improved by debris remova
Natural Areas	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified	(FOTG) none identified
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present	(FOTG) (Soil Survey) none present
Riparian Areas	Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology.	Riparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access.	Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology.	Riparian area improved by debris removal; increased temporary impacts resulting from access
	(FOTG) None present	FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present
Scenic Beauty	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands	Wetlands values and functions restored to pre-storm	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands	Wetlands values and functions restored to pre-storm
Wetlands	littered with debris near bayou	conditions.	tiltered with debris near bayo	conditions.
Wild and Scenic Rivers	(FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	(FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	(FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)	(FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List (not listed)

Completed By:	Monica Wallace	Date:	02/15/2006

DSR NO:	103-05-072K

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

This section must be completed by each afternative	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	
Properties Protected (Private)			
47 houses at \$50,000	2,350,000.00	25	587,500.00
10 houses at \$200,000	2,000,000.00	25	500,000.00
	34,000.00	25	8,500.00
Properties Protected (Public)	50,000.00	25	12,500.00
one bridge on I-12 at \$50,000 & one culvert at \$10,000	10,000.00	25	2,500.00
Business Losses			
Other			
	Total Near Term Da	mage Reduction \$	1,111,000.00
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage	Reduction minus Co	ost from Section 3)	917,800.00

Completed By: Brandee Williams	Date: 02/16/2006
•	

103-05-072K

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?		/	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	\		Access to medical facilities will be adversely effected if flooding occurs in this area.
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	November of the state of the st	To grant and an area	
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?	×	Accompless from page 6.	
Completed By: Brandee Williams		Date:	02/16/2006

DSR NO:	
	103-05-072K

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	7
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	31
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	31
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	65
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	65
Total Group	199

Census tract(s)_	041103		
Completed By: _	Brandee Williams	Date: _	02/16/2006

DSR NO: _____

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

CWA permit prior to construction in channel is needed.

Water Quality Certification is needed.

A consistency authorization is needed since project location is in the coastal zone.

Mitigation Description:

Avoid impacts to western side of channel to prevent damage to riparian zone adjacent to channel. Work should be conducted from eastern side of channel whenever possible.

Debris will be disposed of off-site when possible to minimize impacts to adjacent riparian areas and to minimize detriment to fish habitat within stream and disposed off in a approved landfill if not chipped on-site.

Reseeding of riparian zone will be required after remove to minimize run-off effects in riparian zone.

Removal will be done in consecutive days to minimize impacts to local wildlife.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

New Orleans District

Brian Breaux

LDNR LDWF

St. Tammany Parish Government

LDEQ

DSR NO:	103-05-072K

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

Completed By: Yancey Stam	Date:	12/01/2005
	-	

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using only one side of the channel for access				0.00
Mobilization and Demobilization	1	LS	5,000.00	5,000.00
Channel Obstruction Removal	8,500	LF	22.00	187,000.00
Seeding, Sprigging, Mulching	6	AC	200.00	1,200.00
* 100 to				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
				0.00
	Total In	stallation Cost (E	nter in Section 1F)\$	193,200.00

Unit Abbreviations:

ACAcre LS Lump Sum Square Feet CY Cubic Yard SF EΑ Each SYSquare Yard HR Hour TN Ton LF Other (Specifiy) Linear Feet

DSR NO:	103-05-072K

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?	Z			1e
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure				
components are threatened?				
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed				
threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?			XIII-	
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites				
listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or				
important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing				
wetlands?				
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water			е	
quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat,				
including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and				
wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: _	103-05-072K
Section	5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using only one side of the channel for access

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the	e Environmental Economic, Social;	the Special Environmental
Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27).	I find for the reasons stated below,	that the preferred alternative:

Has been sufficiently analyzed in the	EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.1.2	
Chapter Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
May require the preparation of an enveronment of the Arcs State of the DSR team Title: Consequation of the DSR team	vironmental assessment or environmental impact statement. ate Office on this date:
Trices representative of the DSR team	, /
Title: Vd. St. Conseputorist-	Date: 2/24/06.
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C	Sponsor Concurrence:
Sponsor Representative	
Title: EW. Sfc.	Date: 3./. OC
Section 6 Attachments: A. Location Map	
B. Site Plan or Sketches	

C. Other (explain)

TOPO MAP DSR 103-05-072K

Bayou Vincent Reach #1 St. Tammany Parish

Estimated Total Length 8,500 LF

